IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA
AT HYDERABAD

TUESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY ONE

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.LAXMAN

CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO: 101 OF 2001

Appeal under Section 96 of CPC against the Judgment and decree dated 7/4/2001 in OS No.222/1997 on the file of the Court of the II Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.

Between:

Smt.Padmavathi, W/o. late Narayan Murthy, D/o.late Yashoda Bai, aged 60 years, Occ: Pensioner, R/o.4-1-735, Tuljaguda, Mazamjahi Market, Hyderabad.

...APPELLANT/PLAINTIFF

AND

1. M.Venkat Rao, S/o.late M.R.Naidu, aged about 55 years, Occ: Service, R/o.Head of the Department, Political Science, Branben University, Branben, Candada.
2. The A.P. Co-operative Non-Gazetted Employees Association, rep. by its Geneal Secretary, Hyderabad.
3. Government of A.P., rep. by the District Collector, Hyderabad District, Hyderabad District.

...RESPONDENTS/DEFENDANTS/RESPONDENT/DEFENDANT

CMP. NO: 9732 OF 2001

Petition under Section 151 of CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to direct all the parties to the OS No.222 of 1997 on the file of the Court of the Second Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad, and who are the parties herein, not to alienate or change the physical features of the property in question covered by Ex.A-1 and Ex.B-1 in the said Suit i.e, Land admeasuring 225 sq.yards, or 188.10 sq.metres, situated in Municipal No.4-1-735 at Tuljaguda, Moazamjahi Market, Hyderabad City. Pending disposal of the instant regular appeal on the file of this Hon'ble Court and to pass such other order or orders as deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case and interest of justice.

For the Appellant : SRI S.R.SANKU, Advocate (not present)

For the Respondent No.1 : SRI P.NAGA PRADEEP, Advocate

The Court delivered the following: JUDGMENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.LAXMAN

CITY CIVIL COURT APPEAL NO.101 OF 2001

JUDGMENT:

When the matter is posted for dismissal, there is no representation from the appellant.

2. Accordingly the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions pending if any shall stand closed.

Sd/-K.SRINIVASA RAO
JOINT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. The II Senior Civil Judge, City Civil Court, Hyderabad.
2. One CC to Sri S.R.Sanku, Advocate (OPUC)
3. One CC to Sri P.Naga Pradeep, Advocate (OPUC)
4. Two CD Copies
5. One Spare Copy

Kj.

HIGH COURT

DATED:16/11/2021

JUDGMENT

CCCA.No.101 of 2001

DISMISSING THE APPEAL FOR NON-PROSECUTION.

PBK
08/12

(6)